and the anisotropic terms should be determined before a serious effort is made to explain the axial and equatorial coupling constants in terms of bonding.

Acknowledgment.—This work was supported by a grant from the United States Atomic Energy Commission to the Inorganic Materials Research Division of the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory.

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY J. BROCK SPENCER UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA ROLLIE J. MYERS BERKELEY 4, CALIFORNIA

Received December 9, 1963

An Unexpected Cleavage in the Pyridine Series

Sir:

We have observed that an acetoxymethyl group and an aldehyde group, as the acetal, are cleaved from the pyridine nucleus under mild conditions.

The methiodide prepared from the diethyl acetal of 2-methyl-6-pyridinecarboxaldehyde reacted normally with p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde to yield the expected stilbazole I. *Anal.* Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₉O₂NI: C, 53.82; H, 6.16; N, 5.85. Found: C, 53.85; H, 6.26; N, 6.07. However, attempts to hydrolyze the acetal linkage in dilute acid resulted in the loss of the group R to yield the known stilbazole III¹ with m.p. 269–270° alone or when mixed with an authentic sample; the infrared and ultraviolet spectra of the two samples were also identical.



2-Acetoxymethyl-6-methylpyridine methiodide was treated with dimethylaminobenzaldehyde in ethanol and again a cleavage occurred, this time with loss of the acetoxymethyl group. Although stilbazole II was not isolated, it seems reasonable to believe that it was formed and subsequently cleaved in the reaction mixture as for compound I.

Previous work^{2,3} has not suggested that 2-acetoxymethylpyridines or the acetals (or hemiacetals) of 2pyridine aldehydes are unstable. Therefore, it seems likely that the *p*-dimethylaminophenyl group must in some way facilitate the cleavage reaction. A tentative mechanism for this reaction uses the electron pair of the dimethylamino group to increase the electron density of the pyridine ring so that protonation can take place.



R' = H and OC_2H_5 or OH

(1) A. P. Phillips, J. Org. Chem., 12, 333 (1947).

(2) V. Boekelheide and W. J. Linn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 1286 (1954).

(3) W. Mathes and W. Sauermilch, Chem. Zig., 80, 475 (1956).

In order for this mechanism to explain the second cleavage it is necessary that the acetic acid resulting from the alcoholysis of the acetoxymethyl group be able to serve as a proton donor. A variation of this mechanism would allow the cleavage to occur before protonation, generating ion IV as an intermediate.



However, the dimethylaminophenyl group plays no part in this mechanism.

Further experiments to determine the scope of the reaction and to illuminate its mechanism further are in progress.

Acknowledgment.—This work was performed as a part of a program supported by Contract DA CML 18 108 G 42 with the U. S. Army Chemical Center.

School of Chemistry Rutgers the State University New Brunswick, New Jersey	Roderick A. Barnes Adorjan Aszalos
RECEIVED DECEMBER 5,	1963

Cyclization to a Five-Membered Ring in the Free-Radical Reaction of Iodoperfluoroalkanes with 1,6-Heptadiene

Sir:

Cyclic polymerization of 1,6-heptadiene to saturated polymeric chains containing six-membered ring units has been reported.¹⁻³ Free-radical chain reaction of 1,6-heptadiene with 1-iodoperfluoropropane (R_FI), initiated by 2 mole % of azobisisobutyronitrile, gave an olefinic monoadduct (1), a bisadduct (2), and two isomeric methylcyclopentane derivatives (4a,b) in the ratio of 84:16. Surprisingly, cyclization gave a fivemembered ring rather than the anticipated cyclohexane derivatives. Gas chromatography (g.l.c.) showed no other products were formed. Analogous reaction of R_FI with either 1,5-hexadiene or 1,7-octadiene, however, gave only the olefinic and saturated noncyclic adducts resulting from addition of one or two R_FI molecules, respectively.

Cyclization of the perfluoroalkylheptenyl radical 1a exclusively to a methylcyclopentane structure indicates an unusual degree of kinetic control of products. Secondary radicals such as **1a** or the cyclohexyl radical 5a are generally thought to be more stable than primary radicals such as **3a**,**b**. Cyclohexane is known to be more stable than methylcyclopentane.⁴ It seems possible that approach of the large and strongly electronegative R_FI to within bonding distance of the radical site on la may be hindered by the R_F group already present on the adjacent carbon. For radicals 3a,b this approach is to the fourth carbon removed; for 5a it is also to the fourth carbon, but steric and conformational effects in the cyclohexane system may serve to raise the energy and entropy of the transition state intermediate leading to 6a (or isomer) having bulky RFCH2 and iodine groups.⁶ Studies now under-

(1) For reviews up to 1960, see (a) C. Walling in "Molecular Rearrangements," P. de Mayo, Ed., Interscience Publishers, New York, N. Y. (1963), p. 446; (b) G. B. Butler, J. Polymer Sci., 48, 279 (1960); (c) C. S. Marvel, *ibid.*, 48, 101 (1960).

(2) (a) C. S. Marvel and E. J. Gall, J. Org. Chem., 25, 1784 (1960); (b) N. D. Field, *ibid.*, 25, 1006 (1960).

(3) S.G. Matsoyan, G. M. Pogosyan, R. K. Skripnikova, and A. V. Mushegyan, Vysokomolekul. Soedin., 5, 183 (1963).

(4) R. C. Lamb, D. W. Ayers, and M. K. Toney, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3483 (1963).

(5) (a) N. O. Brace, J. Org. Chem., 28, 3093 (1963); (b) J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3020 (1962); (c) ibid., in press.



Not observed



way will seek further evidence relating to this problem and its obvious implications for cyclic polymerization and other radical rearrangements.

Evidence for *cis*- and *trans*-1-heptafluorobutyl-2iodomethylcyclopentane structures for **4a**,**b** is as follows:⁶ (1) zinc reduction of a 20:80 mixture of **4a**,**b** gave a 20:80 mixture of isomeric heptafluorobutyl-2methylcyclopentanes⁷ (**7a**,**b**); (2) dehydrohalogenation of **4a**,**b** gave a single olefin⁸ **8**, which added hydrogen at 45 p.s.i. over a platinum catalyst, giving a 45:55 mixture of **7a**,**b**.



(6) The cyclic isomer mixture (4a,b), b.p. $92-93^{\circ}$ (5.5 mm.), n^{25} D 1.4295-1.4309, was analyzed by g.l.c., but could not be separated by distillation. G.l.c. analyses were done with a Perkin-Elmer vapor fractometer using a polypropylene glycol column (Perkin-Elmer "R" column) at 150°. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_{12}F_{7}I$: C, 30.63; H, 3.09; F, 33.9; I, 32.4. Found: C, 30.9; H, 3.1; F, 34.0; I, 32.0. Olefinic monoadduct 1 (b.p. 65° (4.2 mm.), n^{25} D 1.4160), had an infrared absorption (C=C) band at 6.00 and at 10.10 μ , and the same analysis.

(7) **7a,b**, b.p. 97° (138 mm.), n^{25} D 1.3562. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₀H₁₃Fr: C, 45.12; H, 4.92; F, 50.0. Found: C, 45.6; H, 5.0; F, 50.0. A fraction containing 90% of isomer with retention time of 16.7 min. at 80° was arbitrarily called **7b**; **7a** was separated by gas chromatography, with retention time of 13.4 min.

(8) **8**, b.p. 97° (144 mm.), n^{25} D 1.3638. *Anal.* Calcd. for C₁₀H₁₁Fr: C, 45.46; H, 4.20; F, 50.34. Found: C, 45.6; H, 4.2; F, 50.2. Infrared absorption bands at 6.00 and at 11.30 μ with an overtone band at 5.62 μ strongly indicates CH₂=CR₁R₂ rather than RCH=CHR for **8**. Infrared and n.m.r. spectra⁹ were consistent with structures **4a,b, 7a,b,** and **8**. There was no proton resonance at -4.50 p.p.m. (relative to tetramethylsilane at 60 Mc.) in **4a,b**, characteristic for -CHI as in iodocyclohexane,¹⁰ but a resonance pattern at -3.25p.p.m. (*two*-proton area) was obtained as found for 1-iodobutane.¹¹ A methyl proton resonance split into a doublet (J = 7 c.p.s.) at -0.85 p.p.m. appeared only in **7b**; in **7a** the methyl peak was at -1.10 p.p.m. Mass spectra of **7a** and **7b** were identical; the principal peak had mass of 56, and next in abundance was 41, consistent with reported spectra for methyl- or dimethylcyclopentanes.¹² Zinc reduction of **6a,b** should have given only one product; dehydrohalogenation ought to have given two olefins, which on hydrogenation could give only one product.

Additional evidence was obtained by synthesis of the anticipated heptafluorobutylcyclohexane (11).

$$R_{F}I + CH_{2} \xrightarrow{R} R_{F}CH_{2} \xrightarrow{I} (95\%) \xrightarrow{CH_{3}ONa} R_{F}CH \xrightarrow{(91\%)} (91\%) \xrightarrow{H_{2}/Pt} R_{F}CH_{2} \xrightarrow{(95\%)} (95\%)$$

$$10 \qquad 11$$

The infrared spectrum of 11 was completely different from that of 7a,b and consistent with a cyclohexane ring. There was no CH₃C- band at 7.24 μ and no methyl proton resonance in the n.m.r. spectrum. The mass spectrum of 11 gave a base peak of mass 83, as found for other alkylcyclohexanes.¹²

Reaction of $R_{\rm FI}$ with biallyl ether gave a product mixture analogous to 1,6-heptadiene; biallyl cyanamide and diethyl 1,6-heptadiene-4,4-dicarboxylate gave apparently only cyclic monoadducts. An investigation into the scope of this unusual cyclization reaction is underway, and a complete description of these reactions will be published shortly.

(9) The author is indebted to Dr. R. K. Miller for infrared spectra and to T. E. Beukelman for n.m.r. spectra reported herein.

(10) W. C. Neikam and B. P. Dailey, J. Chem. Phys., 38, 445 (1963).

(11) Varian Associates, "NMR Spectra Catalog," spectrum No. 71. Compare with spectrum No. 72 (2-iodobutane).

 $(12)\,$ The author is indebted to Dr. F. Kitson, who obtained time-of-flight mass spectra of compounds separated by g.l.c. and aided in interpretation of the results.

NEAL O. BRACE

Contribution No. 361 The Pioneering Research Section Jackson Laboratory E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company Wilmington, Delaware

Received November 16, 1963

Carbene Insertion into the Carbon-Mercury Bond. Formation of Stable Dichlorocarbene Insertion Products which Undergo an Unusual Thermal Breakdown

Sir:

Although Reutov¹ has reported an insertion of dichlorocarbene into a mercury-chlorine bond, the product has been shown more recently to have resulted from a simple nucleophilic displacement of chloride by the trichloromethyl anion.²

We wish to report the first observation of dichlorocarbene (ground electronic state³) insertion into one carbon-mercury bond of several simple *sym*-dialkyl-

(1) O. A. Reutov and A. N. Lovtsova, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **139**, 622 (1961), and references cited therein.

(2) D. Seyferth and J. M. Burlitch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 1757 (1962).

(3) A. M. Trozzolo, R. W. Murray, and E. Wasserman, *ibid.*, 84, 4990 (1962).